

## Worked Example:

## **3D Analysis of Virtual Libraries**

This worked example explores ways to assess and design compounds in 3D using the SeeSAR Pose module. The compounds in this example have been generated as part of a virtual library (see the worked example "R-group Clipping of Reagents for Library Enumeration") and are being considered for further optimisation.

The crystal structure (PDB 2XJX) shows the binding site of Heat Shock Protein 90 (HSP90) with Onalespib as the co-crystallised ligand. Onalespib is a selective, potent HSP90 inhibitor that displays a long duration of antitumour activity. The beta resorcinol group forms a tight hydrogen bond network in the binding site, but the 5-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)-isoindoline does not form any strong interactions with the protein.

The virtual compounds are based on an amide



coupling reaction with a beta resorcylic acid core and commercially available secondary amines.

Step-by-step instructions for all the features you will need to use in StarDrop are provided, along with screenshots and examples of the output you are likely to generate. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact <u>stardrop-support@optibrium.com</u>.



Optibrium<sup>™</sup> and StarDrop<sup>™</sup> are trademarks of Optibrium Ltd. SeeSAR<sup>™</sup> is a trademark of BioSolveIT GmbH.

## Exercise

In StarDrop, open the file SeeSAR Pose.sdproj by selecting Open from the File menu. •



On the left, in the SeeSAR area, the protein HSP90 is displayed with its secondary structure and the co-crystallised ligand, Onalespib. The data set on the right contains nine compounds from the library that we will analyse in 3D. To do this, we are going to generate a set of conformations using StarDrop's SeeSAR Pose module; however, if you wish to use 3<sup>rd</sup>-party docking software for such a task, then StarDrop's Pose Generation Interface can be used to connect seamlessly to your chosen platform and retrieve the docked conformations.

👯 StarDrop - SeeSAR Pose					- 0	×
File Edit View Data Set Tools Custom Scripts Help						
S Visualisation Models Scoring Design SeeSAR 3D P450 Nova Auto-Moc	C Structure	R1	R1_FragmentSet	R1_ReagentID	R1_MWT	
Protein • Display • Binding • 🚍 •			Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	114589253	194.3	
	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	114395834	167.2	1
	3	Ţ.	Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	11488391	204.3	Q 
		. ¢	Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	70099268	212.3	₩¥ fxo
	5 7770		Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	300142462	151.2	-
	6 7 4	¢.	Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	96633087	182.3	R1 Q
	7)+2,000	•	Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	481109	204.3	
( CORRAD	: + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	X	Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	96626912	182.3	
	· 17-2-0		Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	36251563	202.3	
	<					>
🧮 💿 Edit 🌒						^
Ge	enerate docked poses	¥-	Secondary Amines	Column 20 (0) Column 10	Library	201
Keady Es	timate binding affinity		Kows 9 (0)	Columns 20 (0) Selected 0	Server status: 🍑 🔰 🔍 🔍	
Ac	dd selected complex ligand to d	1				2

From the menu at the bottom of the SeeSAR area, choose Generate docked poses. By default, you will see that the co-crystallised ligand, Onalespib, is already placed in the binding site. Note: This will generate poses for the entire data set. To generate poses for individual compounds, select these rows in the data set first.



When generating poses, we need to define a binding site. By default, you will see that the cocrystallised ligand, Onalespib, is already selected, and we will use this (**Note:** if we had already docked other ligands, then we could use one of these to define the binding site instead).

- First, uncheck the option to **Estimate binding affinity**. **Note:** If you have the SeeSAR Affinity module, then the **Estimate binding affinity** option would remain checked, but we will not use it here in this example.
- Then click the **Generate Poses** button to start the process.

You will see that a new column is added to the data set in which the FlexX Score for each compound will be displayed once the poses have been generated. While the calculations take place, the compounds will be listed as either **Running** (the calculation is taking place) or **Queued** (the calculation will start when this compound reaches the front of the queue).



Once the calculations have been completed, you will see that each compound has ten poses generated (as shown by the number in the structure column).



• To view some of the poses that have been generated, select the compound in row 3.



It is now loaded into the 3D viewer alongside the co-crystallised ligand.

• To view the binding site in more detail, in the SeeSAR area, select the Binding pull-down menu and then select **Show Binding**.





- From the **Display** menu, choose **Display Options**.
- In the **Display Options** dialogue, tick the **Show protein surface** option and choose **Transparent** and **Colour by LogP** from the menus.
- In the **Display Options** dialogue, untick the **Show complexed ligands** option to hide Onalespib.
- Close the **Display Options** dialogue.



To select any pose in isolation, hover over the number next to the structure and click the arrow to show the list of available conformers. Although the top-scoring pose for a compound is shown by default and marked by a star, we can view any of the others by selecting them from the list.



While the docking scores provide us with a qualitative idea of how we might rank-order the compounds, we'd like to combine this with more information about other compound properties.

👯 StarDrop - SeeSAR Pose					- 0	×
File Edit View Data Set Tools Custom Scripts Help						
8	🔍 🔳 Structure	FlexX Score 2XJX	R1	R1_FragmentSet	R1_ReagentID	
Visualisation Models Scoring Design SeeSAR 3D P450 Nova Auto-Modeller		XJX_A_1232				0.0
Profile: FlexX + Oral Non CNS Scori	5.		$\neg \neg$	1 Secondary		<u> </u>
Property Desired Value Importance		-22	$\sim$	Amines:Fragment1_0	114589253	
FlexX Score 2XJX XJX A 1232	1004					B==
	XX,		<sup>H</sup> <mark>P</mark>	C		
HIA category +	2 10	-28	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Amines:Fragment1_0	114395834	
■ logP 0 -> 3.5 🖳	4					
■ hERG pIC50 ≤ 5	T.		T)			
2D6 affinity category low medium	3 10	-20	d and the second se	Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	11488391	Q
■ 2C9 pKi ≤ 6	- XX		Ϋ́	-		
Add rule Delete Sort Edit						<b>₩</b>
	4	-22	L X	Amines:Fragment1_0	70099268	fix)
Available Properties Criteria Importance	1005		×.			
2D6 affinity	1 mart		Î			
BBB category	5 7 10	-22		Secondary Amines:Fragment1_0	300142462	
hERG plC50	8		, N N			000
HIA category	21		4			112
BBB log[[bra	6 1 10	-18	Y	Secondary Amines:Fragment1.0	96633087	R1
			$\bigcirc$			-
logS @ pH7.4	-		4			
P-gp category	7 7 7 10	-24	X	Secondary Amines:Fragment1.0	481109	
PPB90 categ Y	~		X	Annies rugnienci_o		
Enter search text	H-Q H		1			
Scoring Profiles Location	8 2 10	-21	$\nabla$	Secondary	96626912	
Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile File	$\sim$		C)	Anniest ragmentr_o		
Oral CNS Scoring Profile File	1 to a		1			-
Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile File	9 7 10	-21		Secondary	36251563	
Intravenous CNS Scoring Profile File	· 40		$\langle \rangle$	Ammestragmentr_0		
Hyde pKi + Oral Non CNS Scoring Project FlexX + Oral Non CNS Scoring Prof Project						-
	<				>	F.
MPO Explorer:					^	h
Build profile Analyse Sensitivity	lnitial compo	nd 🐇	Secondary Amines	8.	Library	
Ready			Rows 9 (0) Col	umns 31 (0) Selected 1 Se	erver status: 🥥 🔘 🔍 🔍	

• Click on the Scoring tab.

In this example, we have created a multi-parameter scoring profile to reflect the range of properties we'd ideally like to see alongside the docking score for an oral non-CNS target.

Run the scoring profile by clicking the button. (Note: you will see a message telling you that the docking score has no uncertainty associated with it. Ideally, all the data we score should reflect the error appropriately, and we know that the docking scores are not always accurate values, but in this case, click the OK button.)

👯 StarDrop - SeeSAR Pose						- 0
File Edit View Data Set Tools Custom Scripts Help						
6	ElexX + C	al Non CNS	Structure	ElexX Score 2X IX	R1	R1 FragmentSet
Visualisation Models Scoring Design SeeSAR 3D P450 Nova Auto-Modeller	Scoring F	rofile		XJX_A_1232		
Profile: FlexX + Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile	1 III.40					
December Decided Volum	1	0.1641	X 10	-22		Amines:Fragment1 0
Figure Course 2X IX X IX A 1222			FOR N			
	<b>A MAR</b>		Mr.		н <sub>о</sub>	
	2	0.2031	10	-28	· N NH	Secondary
			T)			Amines: rragment 1_0
	Later A		Ϋ́		YY	
206 affinity category		0.01201	10	-20	Y.	Secondary
		0.01331	Tech "	20	Q, and a set of the se	Amines:Fragment1_0
- 200 pm	-		~~~			
Add rule Delete Sort Edit			J		Q I	Secondary
	4	0.23	~~~~~~ <sup>10</sup>	-22		Amines:Fragment1_0
Available Properties Criteria Importance	In the second				X	
2D6 affinity					<b>1</b>	
BBB category	5	0.4065	X 10	-22	H H	Amines:Fragment1_0
hERG plC50					. N. N	
HIA category	I DE LO		2.		1	
BBB log([bra	6	0.01048	10 A	-18	Υ	Secondary
logD			2.20		$\square$	Amines:rragment1_0
logS	In . It		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		1	
P-ap category		0.242	22- ~ 10	-24	Ŷ	Secondary
PPB90 categ V		0.243	1 HORN	-24	Q .	Amines:Fragment1_0
Enter search text						
			Jos .		$\land$	Secondary
Scoring Profiles Location	8	0.08591	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ 10	-21	~X	Amines:Fragment1_0
Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile File	In the second		• •		$\bigcirc$	
Lipinski Rule of Five File	L L L		Str.		~	
Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile File	9	0.06069	10	-21		Amines:Fragment1 0
Intravenous CNS Scoring Profile File			~-0			
FlexX + Oral Non CNS Scoring Prof Project						
	<					>
MPO Explorer:						^
Build profile Analyse Sensitivity	34	In Maller and Annual		Constant Autom	×	
	*	initial compo	una 😽	Secondary Amines	Realized 1	
ieady				Rows 9 (0) C	piumns 32 (0) selected 1 Se	rver status: 🍑 🌒 🔍 🔍 🔍

This generates a multi-parameter score for every compound and adds it to a new column. The score is a value between 0 and 1. It reflects the probability of the compound being successful given the criteria we have defined in the profile and the uncertainty in the data available (a perfect score would be 1). Each score is accompanied by a histogram which gives a quick visual indicator of how each of the compound properties contributed to that score. The lower the bar, the more of a problem that particular property may be for the compound.

We can examine this in more detail in Card View, where we have already created a card design that shows the overall score, alongside the docking score and the hERG  $pIC_{50}$ .

• Click the **Card View** button 🗘 near the top of the right-hand toolbar.



In the second compound down on the left, we see that it possesses a good MPO score. However, the dark pink bar in the scoring histogram associated with hERG inhibition is shorter than the others, indicating a potential problem. From the property value shown at the bottom of the card, we can see that the predicted value is 5.8, whereas, in our scoring profile, we indicated that an ideal compound would have a hERG  $pIC_{50}$  below 5.

On that card, click on the hERG pIC50 property to display the Glowing Molecule for hERG pIC50.

The Glowing Molecule highlights regions of a compound that are having a significant impact upon its predicted properties. Regions of the molecule increasing the predicted value are coloured red, regions decreasing the predicted value are coloured blue, and regions having no overall influence are coloured green. The colours are interpolated between these extremes to reflect the influence of that region of the molecule on the property prediction.

We can see from the Glowing Molecule for our chosen compound that several regions glow red, indicating that they increase the predicted hERG affinity; however, we should consider these alongside the 3D pose to consider their importance to the compound's ability to bind to the target protein.



• Click on the SeeSAR tab to return to the 3D viewer.



We can see from the Glowing Molecule that the resorcinol group is bright red and contributes to the increase in the predicted hERG affinity. However, we also can observe from the 3D viewer that this group is critical to the target binding affinity. In particular, we can see that the isopropyl group is red, but it binds into a hydrophobic cleft, and so we probably wouldn't want to change this because there is a significant risk that this would disrupt the binding.

On the other hand, the hydroxymethyl is also quite red, and we can see that this is a lot more accessible. Making changes in this region may improve the hERG prediction without adversely affecting the binding.

We can use the **Edit mode** in **SeeSAR Pose** to try out new ideas and get quick feedback about how the changes we have made may affect the binding. Before we edit the molecule, however, it may be worth exploring some of the additional poses of the docked molecule.

- Click the **Table View** button
- Hover over the number next to the structure and click the arrow 💾 to show the list of available conformers.



• Click on the **Edit** switch at the bottom of the **SeeSAR** area to display the 2D editor.

We can use StarDrop's standard editing tools to change the displayed structure. The selected structure will be used as a template for the newly edited structure to be docked into the same site.



• In the sketch area, use the **Bond** tool to change the hydroxymethyl into a carboxylic acid, as shown above.

**Hint:** To specify an element, hover over an atom and type the element symbol, in this case, "O". Bond types (single, double, triple) may be cycled by clicking on a specific bond.



As you edit the compound, you will see a message saying "Generating pose" below the 3D viewer, and a new pose will be displayed within a few seconds.

• To compare the new compound with the original, tick the **Show template** option below the 3D viewer.

Please note that due to the stochastic nature of the template docking method, you may see differences in the binding mode and the estimated affinities to what is shown here.

**Hint:** You can change the relative sizes of the 3D viewer and the 2D sketch areas by dragging the slider in between.



We can see that, in comparison to the original compound, the overall binding conformation has been maintained reasonably well. This may present us with a viable alternative, which we will add to our data set and look at how the hERG binding may have been affected by the change.

From the menu at the bottom of the SeeSAR area, choose Add pose to data set. •



Then select the Card View 🕑 button. •

On the new card, you can see that the hERG  $pIC_{50}$  is now below 5 due to the addition of the carboxylic acid. This decrease is supported by the Glowing Molecule in which the carboxylic acid is coloured blue because it lowers the predicted hERG affinity.



- Click the **Edit** switch at the bottom of the SeeSAR area to come out of Edit mode.
- Click the **Table View** button to switch back into Table View.



If you scroll across the table, you can see that the score, along with all the predicted properties, has been added to the data set along with the new compound.

The overall score is faded to indicate a missing property, in this case, the docking score. These are not automatically calculated because they take a lot longer to generate. Still, it can be added easily by selecting this compound and running pose generation as we did earlier, selecting the co-crystallised ligand as the reference once again.

We might wish to consider a more quantitative estimate of the binding affinity as further steps. This is something which you can do with the SeeSAR Affinity module, as demonstrated in the following worked example: <u>https://www.optibrium.com/tutorials/seesar-affinity-binding-affinity-and-torsion-angle-analysis-of-virtual-libraries-2/</u>