

# Worked Example:

### Addressing Toxicity Risk in Multi-parameter Optimisation

In this example, we will illustrate how knowledge-based prediction of toxicity can be used within a multi-parameter optimisation (MPO)

environment to guide the selection and design of compounds with a good balance of properties and reduced risk of toxicity.

We will explore a library of compounds with experimental inhibition data against the cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2) target, with the goal of identifying a high-quality lead series comparable with the best-inclass Celecoxib (Celebrex). However, we should consider that other COX2 inhibitors, including Rofecoxib (Vioxx) and Valdecoxib (Bextra), were withdrawn from the market due to adverse events.

During this example, we will use StarDrop's Probabilistic Scoring approach for multi-parameter optimisation to integrate experimental data, predicted ADME properties from the ADME QSAR module and predictions of toxicity risk from the Derek Nexus module. We will explore the distribution of properties and scores across the chemical diversity of a screening library using StarDrop's chemical space visualisation to target high-quality chemistry quickly. Finally, we will use the interactive design tool in StarDrop to investigate approaches to modify compounds to reduce the risk of toxicity, guided by the Glowing Molecule visualisation. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact <u>stardrop-support@optibrium.com</u>.



Optibrium<sup>™</sup>, StarDrop<sup>™</sup>, Nova<sup>™</sup>, Card View<sup>®</sup>, Glowing Molecule<sup>™</sup> and Auto-Modeller<sup>™</sup> are trademarks of Optibrium Ltd. Derek Nexus<sup>™</sup> is a trademark of Lhasa Limited. © 2022 Optibrium Ltd.

### Exercise

#### **Compound Selection**

• In StarDrop, select **Open** from the **File** menu and open the StarDrop project file **COX2.sdproj**.

🐛 Select file(s) to open				×
← → • ↑ 📙 « W	orked examples > Derek Nexus	ٽ ~	,○ Search Derek Nex	cus
Organise 🔻 New fold	er			
This PC		Date modified	Туре	Size
🧊 3D Objects	COX2.sdproj	16/12/2019 09:14	StarP.op Project	192 KB
E. Desktop				
🔮 Documents				
🖶 Downloads				
b Music				
Pictures				
Videos	v <			>
File n	ame: COX2.sdproj	~	Supported Files(*.sdpr	oj *.add * $ \smallsetminus $
			Open	Cancel

The project contains a data set of 152 compounds with potency data (pK<sub>i</sub>) against the COX2 target. This represents a small library of compounds screened for potency and from which we would like to identify a high-quality lead series.



The project also contains a dashboard displaying two charts. On the right is a histogram showing the distribution of pK<sub>i</sub> values across the data set. On the left is a chemical space representation of the compounds. Each point in the chemical space represents one compound, and hovering the mouse

over a point will display a pop-up of the structure. Any selections made in the data set or the charts will be reflected everywhere else.

> Select the righthand histogram bar to select the compounds with the highest pK<sub>i</sub> values.



In the chemical space, we can see the clusters containing the most potent compounds (by default coloured in yellow). However, the most potent compounds are not necessarily the best starting point for a high-quality lead series; ideally, we would like to identify a potent series that is also likely to have an appropriate balance of absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination (ADME) properties. StarDrop's Probabilistic Scoring approach to MPO makes it easy to identify the chemistries that are most likely to achieve the required profile.

In the **Scoring** area, the project contains a **COX2 scoring profile** that we have already created.

• Click on the button at the bottom of the **Scoring** area to calculate the score for each compound based on the experimental potency and predicted ADME properties. The models required to predict the ADME properties in the profile will be run automatically, and the results will appear as columns in the data set.

Versultation       Models       Social Design       Sees Stat B       D       P430       Nova Auto-Molit         Prefife       COC2 scoring profile       Preperty       Design       Social State Molit       Prefife       COC2 scoring profile       Social State Molit       Prefife       Social State Molit       Prefife       COC2 scoring profile       Social State Molit       Prefife       Social State Molit       Prefife       COC2 scoring profile       Social State Molit       Prefife       Social State Molit       Prefife       Cocart to pfo       Social State Molit       Prefife       Cocart to pfo       Prefife       Prefife       Cocart to pfo       Prefife       Prefife       Cocart to pfo       Prefife </th <th>👯 StarDrop - COX2 File Edit View Data Set Tools</th> <th>Custom Scripts Help</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>×</th>	👯 StarDrop - COX2 File Edit View Data Set Tools	Custom Scripts Help								-		×
Profile       COX2 scoring profile         Profile       COX2 scoring profile         Profile       COX2 scoring profile         Profile       COX2 scoring profile         Profile       Cox100099         Profile       Cox10099         Profile       Cox1009         Profile       Cox1009         Profile       Cox1009         Profile       Cox109         Profile       Cox109         Profile       Cox109         Profile       Cox109         Profile       Profile         Profile       Profile	Visualisation Models Scoring	Besign SeeSAR 3D P450 Nova Auto-Mod P	٩	COX2 scorin	g profile	SMILES	Name	🔳 рКі		logS	•	
Property       Desired Value       Importance         o d5       > 1         0 d5 finity category       -         0 d5 finity category       0         0 d5 finity category       0 <t< td=""><td>Profile: COX2 scoring profile</td><td>······································</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>0.0363</td><td>50</td><td>XXX100099</td><td>6</td><td>.14</td><td>2.78</td><td></td><td>8</td></t<>	Profile: COX2 scoring profile	······································	1		0.0363	50	XXX100099	6	.14	2.78		8
pig       8.> Imf ∩         9 pig       8.> Imf ∩         9 pig       0.> 5 []         9 pig design       0.0 622         > 20 pint       6 dis 0[[binin][blocd]]         0 dis 0[[binin][blocd]]       ≤ 0.3         0 dis 0[[binin][blocd]]       ≤ 0	Property	Desired Value Importance				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~						
Performance CVS Scring Profile File Intravenous On SC Scring Profil	pKi	8 -> inf 1		1 - <b>-</b> - 1		X						8==
<ul> <li>HA category</li> <li></li></ul>	logS	> 1	2		0.162	2a	XXX100098	7	.47	1.55		RII
logP       0-> 5 I         B B5 fp (CS)       4         D 20 affinity category       how medium         P-gp category       no         D 20 affinity category       how         B B5 log(Brain)(blood)       4       0.0522         Add nule       Deleta       Sott         Mailable Properties       Catalian       Image: Court vs pki         P Photo-induc       Photo-induc       Photo-induc         P Photo-induc       Image: Court vs pki       Court vs pki         Scring Profiles       Location       Image: Court vs pki       Image: Court vs pki         Court VS Scring Profile       File       Image: Court vs pki       Image: Court vs pki         Scring Profiles       Location       Image: Court vs pki       Image: Court vs pki         Intervence Kin Row Chesting Profile       File       Image: Court vs pki       Image: Court vs pki         Intervence Kin Row Chesting Profile       File       Image: Court vs pki       Image: Court vs pki         Intervence Kin Row Chesting Profile       File       Image: Court vs pki       Image: Court vs pki         Intervence Kin Row Chesting Profile       File       Image: Court Vs Pki       Image: Court Vs Pki         Intervence Kin Row Chesting Profile       File <t< td=""><td>HIA category</td><td>+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>¥</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>111</td></t<>	HIA category	+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				¥						111
hEffic piCS0 < 5	logP	0-> 5 🖳		L L III III		at						1
2De affinity category   P per portegory   0 2C p ki   6 bio (prosing) from (bio with each of the file   0 2De protection   0 2De pro	bERG pIC50	< 5	3		0.0622	11	XXX100097	7	.67	-0.00708		
P-ge steepsy no Poge steepsy no Poge steepsy low Court vs pKi Poge steepsy low Court vs pKi Poge steepsy low Court vs pKi Court vs pKi Poge steepsy low Court vs pKi Court vs pKi Poge steepsy low Court vs pKi Poge steepsy low Court vs pKi Poge steepsy low P	2D6 affinity category	low medium				¥						Q
<ul> <li>Protection</li> <li>Politic Scoting Profile</li> <li>Scoting Profile</li> <li>Cold Non CNS Scoting Profile</li> <li>File</li> <li>Cold Non CNS Scoting Profile</li> <li>File</li> <li>Cold Sc</li></ul>	P-m category			l Calab		4						III:
PPB0 category       low         BBB category       -         Add rule       Delete         Sorting Portiles       Control vs. pki         Chromosom       Count vs. pki         Photomutag       -         Enter seach text       -         Mutagenicity       Piotomic         Photomutag       -         Intravenous Christing Profile       File         Intravenous Christing Profile       File         Intravenous Christing Profile       File         MPO Explorer:       Project         MPO Explorer:       Project	2C9 pKi	5.6 <b>-</b>	4		0.275	🐛 Dashboard - Ne	wDashboard			- 0	×	
BBB (attraction)   BBB (attraction)   Covering Profile   Covering Profile   File   Co	PPB90 category					Ontions						₩¢
Consignment processing       Consignment processing         BBB category       Consignment         Addrule       Delete         Sorting Profiles       Controment         Photo-induc       Controment         Photo-induc       Controment         Photo-induc       Controment         Photo-induc       Controment         Cherrosonthag       Controment         Photo-induc       Controment         Cherrosonthag       Controment         Photo-induc       Controment         Contromentag       Contromentag         Photo-induc       Contromentag         Contromentag       Contromentag         Contromentag       Contromentag         Contromentag       Contromentag         Controm Critics       Contromentag         Controme Critics       Contromentag         Controme Critics       Contromentag         Controme Critics       Contromentag         MPO Explorent:       Contresto	BBB log([brain]:[blood])	5 -05		1. a. a.		COX2 Space		đΧ	Count vs pKi		đΧ	f(x)
Add rule Delete Sort Edit Add rule Delete Sort Edit Add rule Delete Sort Edit Add rule Delete Sort Edit Photo: Gran Songerin: Photo: rule: Photo: rule: Photo	BBB categooy		5		0.0196							1
Add rule       Octoce       Sort       Extra         Available Properties       Circinogeniu       0.136         Protoconscritu       0.136         Protoconscritu       0.107         Protoconscritu       0.00721         Protoconscritu       0.00483         Protoconscritu       Project         COX2 scoring Profile       File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile       File         COX2 scoring Profile       File         Protoconscritu       Project         COX2 scoring Profile       File         Protoconscritu       Project         Dottoconscring Profile       File	bbb category						COX2 Space			Count vs pKi		
Available Properties Criteria Importance Carcinogeni Photo-induc Mutagencity Consome Commonsome Consome Commonsome Consome	Add rule Delete Sor	t Edit 📄 📄 👻				1			80 T			
Available Properties       Christian Importance         Christian Importance       Importance         Photoscritian       Christian Importance         Photoscritian       Photoscritian         Christian Profiles       Location         Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile       File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile       File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile       File         Intravenous CNS Scoring Profile       File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile       File         Intravenous CNS Scoring Profile       File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile       File         Intravenous CNS Scoring Profile			6		0.136			- 🚯				
Correngence ricks     Chromosoms     Potorinduce.     Mutagenicity     Photomutag  Enter search text      Cont Non CNS Scoing Profile     File     Intravenous CNS Scoing Profile     Intravenous CNS Scoing Profile     Intravenous CNS Scoing Profile     Intravenous CNS     Intravenous	Available Properties Criteria Impor	tance	ľ		0.150	S			1			141
Protocardin Protocardin Protocardinu <	Carcinogeni					- %			6			81
Photo-induc Photo-induc	Chromosom				0.107	-			60 -			0
Enter search text         Enter search text         Scoring Profile         File         Upinsk Rule of File         Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile         File         Unitravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile         File         Unitravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile         Project         OxOL Non CNS Scoring Profile         Build profile         Project         OxOL Non CNS Scoring Profile         File         Unitravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile         Project         OxOL Non CNS Scoring Prof	Photo-induc		1		0.107							
Photomutag       Image: Control Profile       Image: Con	Mutagenicity						•	•	•			
Enter search text         Scoring Profile         Scoring Profile         File         Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile         File         Upinsk Rike         Occoring Profile         File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile         File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile         File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile         Project         MPO Explorer:         Build profile         Build profile         Non Scoring Profile         Non Desplorer:	Photomutag	~				-			<u> </u>			
Scoring Profiles Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile File Oral CNS Scoring Profile File Untravenous CNS Scoring Profile File Untravenous CNS Scoring Profile File COX2 scoring profile with taxicity Project COX2 scoring profile Project COX2 scoring profile Mile Scoring Profile File Untravenous CNS Scoring Profile File COX2 scoring profile With taxicity Project COX2 scoring profile Mile Scoring Profile File	Enter search text		8		0.0721		1.		0			
Scoring Profiles Location Oral NNs Scoring Profile File Lipinski Nule of Five File Intravenous CNS Scoring Profile File COV2 scoring profile Profile File COV2 scoring profile Project COV2 scoring profile Mutaxity Project C									l i		-	
Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile       File         Oral KNS Scoring Profile       File         Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile       Fi	Scoring Profiles	Location										
Oral CNS Scoring Profile     File       Lipinski Rule of Five     File       Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile     File       Intravenous Non Scoring Profile     File       COX2 scoring profile     Project       MPO Explorer:	Oral Non CNS Scoring Profile	File	9		0.227				20 -			
Lipits Aule of the file file file file file file file fil	Oral CNS Scoring Profile	File										
Intrarenous CNS Scoring Profile File COX2 scoring profile Whoichty Project COX2 scoring profile Whoichty Project MPD Explore: Build profile Analyse Sensitivity	Intravenous Non CNS Scoring Profile	File		l l de la companya de					1			
COX2 aconing profile with taxicity Project COX2 aconing profile Project MPO Explorer:	Intravenous CNS Scoring Profile	File	10		0.0483	+						
CUAZ scoring protile     Project       MPD Explorer:	COX2 scoring profile with toxicity	Project							2	4 6	10	
MPO Explore:	COX2 scoring profile	Project					pKi			pKi 🔻		
Build profile Analyse Sensitivity	MPO Explorer:		11		0.166			~			~	
	Build profile Analyse Sensit	ivity	×				60V2 II		1			
COX library Parade Para	Peach						COX2 library	mps 14 (0) C-1	lacted 20 C-	inver status: 🧿 🔿 🌖	00	0
nesuy nows 122 (U) Columns 14 (U) Selected 29 Server status:	Reduy						KOWS 152 (0) COL	umins 14 (U) Sel	iected 29 Se	river status: 🍯 🚽 🔍		1

 Right-click on the COX2 scoring profile column header in the data set and select Descending from the Sort menu. The highest scoring compounds will move to the top of the data set.

<b>)</b>	COX2 scoring profile		SMILES Name
1		0.0363	Delete Insert Duplicate
			Sort Ascending
			Sort by Confidence
2		0.162	Edit 0098
			Сору
L L Marine	1		Show Only Columns Involved
3		0.0622	XXX100097

We can colour the points in the chemical space by the overall score.

- Click somewhere in the chemical space where there are no compounds to clear the selection.
- Click the arrow in the bottom-right corner of the chemical space to display the controls and then click on the Format button
- In the Format Chart Data dialogue, choose to Colour by the COX2 scoring profile and then click the Close button.



• Click the small arrow below the chemical space again to hide the controls.

From this, we can see that the most potent cluster is no longer yellow, indicating that it is unlikely to have acceptable ADME properties. Alternative regions have more yellow points and are more likely to have good potency and good ADME properties. Note that Celecoxib is one of the top-scoring compounds, giving us confidence that the scoring profile prioritises high-quality compounds for COX2 inhibitors.

 Select row 7 in the data set (Celecoxib) to highlight this compound in red in the chemical space. Note that two other regions circled to the right are indicated as potential regions of high-quality chemistry.



So far, we have not considered the potential for toxicity, and for this, we will use the **Derek Nexus** module for StarDrop.

• Click on the **Models** tab.

At the bottom of the list of models, you will see the **Derek Nexus** branch of models.

- From the list, select the **Carcinogenicity**, **Genotoxicity** and **Hepatotoxicity** endpoints by checking the adjacent boxes, as shown below (**Note:** Hepatotoxicity is in the **Organ toxicity** branch under **Derek Nexus**).
- Click the button to run these predictions. This will take a few minutes, and although the columns will be created immediately, the results will be filled in as they are returned from the server.



• Minimise the dashboard and scroll across the data set to see the predictions for the individual endpoints.

These correspond to structural alerts with levels of likelihood based on precedence from experimental data. A **No report** outcome for an endpoint indicates that the query compound does not contain any structural alerts associated with that endpoint, and there are no reasons based on the physical properties of the compound to predict either activity or inactivity. In ascending order of likelihood, other possible results are: **Inactive**, **Equivocal**, **Plausible** and **Probable**. Alerts are highlighted in orange, as shown below. From this, we can see that there is an indication of the potential for toxicity of some of the top-scoring compounds.

StarDrop - COX2						-		×
Visualisation Models Scoring Design SeeSAR 3D P450 Nova Auto-Mod	٩	8 Mutagenicity	Photomutagenicity	Non-specific genotoxici	Photo-induced non-spe	Hepatotoxicity	^	]
QSAR Models:	1	No report	No report	No report	No report	No report		~~~
Type to search							- 1	•
✓ ■ Derek Neus     ∧       ✓ ⊆ Carcinogenicity     >       > ☑ ⊆ carcinogenicity     >       > ☑ ■ Detectropericity     >	2	No report	No report	No report	No report	Plausible		<b>I</b> II <b>T</b> T
✓ Genotoxicity     ✓ Genotoxicity     ✓ Chromosome damage     ✓ Chromosome damage     ✓ Chromosome damage	3	No report	No report	No report	No report	Plausible		<u>₽</u>
Matagenicity     Matagenicity     Matagenicity	4	No report	No report	No report	No report	Plausible		₩. ₩
✓ Mon-specific genotoxicity	5	No report	No report	No report	No report	Equivocal		fx)
	6	No report	No report	No report	No report	Equivocal		<b>₽</b>
Lechymation	7	No report	No report	No report	No report	Equivocal		NI Q
Intensive Models:	8	No report	No report	No report	No report	Equivocal		
<ul> <li>Index states prod</li> <li>Index states prod&lt;</li></ul>	9	No report	No report	No report	No report	Equivocal		
	10	No report	No report	No report	No report	Equivocal		
	11 <	No report	No report	No report	No report	Equivocal	<b>`</b>	
Parte .	¥.			COX2 library Rows 152 (0) Colum	nns 23 (0) Selected 1 Serv	er etatue: 🧿 问 🔾		

We can visualise the results in the library's chemical space.

- Restore the dashboard.
- In the chemical space plot window, open the controls again and change the formatting to colour by the Hepatotoxicity.

In the resulting chemical space (shown right), we can see that some regions of the chemical space are highlighted as having an 'equivocal' or 'plausible' likelihood of hepatotoxicity, including one of the high scoring regions. Hepatotoxicity • No report • Inactive • Equivocal • Plausible • Probable

COX2 Space

We can include the toxicity predictions in a scoring profile to prioritise potent compounds with a good

balance of ADME properties and a reduced risk of toxicity.

- Change to the Scoring area again and select the file COX2 scoring profile with toxicity from the list at the bottom. This is another scoring profile that we have already saved as part of the project.
- Click on the button to calculate the score for each compound based on the experimental potency and predicted ADME properties and toxicities.

**Note:** You will see a warning about some of the data having zero uncertainty but click the **OK** button.



- Right-click on the header of the new score column and sort the data set in descending order again.
- Colour the points in the chemical space using the new score calculated with the toxicity endpoints in the same way as we did before.

We can see that the region of the chemical space circled on the right contains the majority of the topscoring compounds. This indicates that this chemistry has the highest chance of providing a high-quality series.



You can find the corresponding points in the data set by drawing around the points in the chemical space with the mouse.

Based on these results, an appropriate strategy would be to focus experimental and synthetic resources on the highest scoring compounds identified above. However, given the potency and good

properties of some alternative areas of chemistry, these should not be rejected outright. As reflected by their scores, predictions of toxicity and other properties are not definitive, and therefore it would be appropriate to sample a small number of additional compounds for further tests to confirm the predicted hypothesis and avoid missing valuable opportunities due to uncertain data.

#### Compound Design

So far, we have been considering strategies for compound selection, taking into account the risk of toxicity. Now we will illustrate how we can explore strategies to redesign compounds and reduce the risk of toxicity.

 Draw around the cluster of compounds shown to the right to select the corresponding compounds in the data set.

Note that one of the selected compounds is Lumiracoxib. This compound has been withdrawn from the market in several countries, mostly due to hepatotoxicity concerns,



and has never been approved for use in the United States. We can use the interactive designer and the Glowing Molecule<sup>™</sup> visualisation to identify the substructures causing alerts and guide efforts to redesign compounds with reduced toxicity risk.

- Minimise the dashboard.
- Change to the **Design** area and select the row containing Lumiracoxib (row 10).

The structure will be copied into the editor in the Design area.

• In the list of predicted properties below the editor, select **Hepatotoxicity** to highlight the structural alert for this endpoint.

🛠 StarDrop - COX2 File Edit View Data Set Tools Custom Scriu	ots Helo								- 0	×
Visualisation Models Scoring Design	SeeSAR 3D P450 Nova Auto-	٩	COX2 scor with toxici	ng profile	COX2 scori	ng profile	SMILES	Name	■ pKi	
	Clean Reset	1		0.452		0.452	: Las	XXX100050	8.74	
		2		0.275		0.275	, db)	XXX1000154	8.77	
		3		0.275		0.275	33	XXX100096	8.46	0,
N		4		0.246		0.246	toge	XXX1000128	8.38	≣ĭ ≣∵ f∞
F		5		0.227		0.227	rug Q	XXX100091	7.1	
		6		0.192		0.192	sa	XXX1000130	7.06	11 11
IOQY	Results	7		0.189		0.189	076	XXX10007	7.55	Ø
Carcinogenicity Photocarcinogenicity	No report No report	8		0.186		0.372	2	XXX1000151	7.33	
Chromosome damage Photo-induced chromosome damage	No report No report	9		0.184		0.369	á,	XXX1000150	7.7	
Mutagenicity	No report		the set is		h t tot be		9 1			
Photomutagenicity	No report	10		0.18		0.36	•	Lumiracoxib	8.15	
Non-specific genotoxicity	No report									
Photo in the non-specific genotoxicity	No repo	<							×	
dy	Plausible	¥.					COX2 library Rows 152 (0)	Columns 24 (0) Selecte	ed 1 Server status:	

We can use the editor to modify the structure of the carboxymethyl.

Use the eraser tool to delete the methylene and then use the bond tool to join the carboxyl group directly to the phenyl before clicking the Clean button, as shown in the sequence below.



With each edit, all the predicted properties in the table below will update instantly, allowing all the properties to be monitored simultaneously.

• Finally, find compound XX100078 and use the designer to investigate strategies to reduce the risk of hepatotoxicity and carcinogenicity in the same way as above.

## Conclusion

This example has used some of StarDrop's capabilities to illustrate how knowledge-based prediction of toxicity, provided by the Derek Nexus module for StarDrop, can be used in early drug discovery to reduce the risk of encountering toxicity issues downstream. We have used chemical space visualisation, Probabilistic Scoring and interactive design with Glowing Molecule<sup>™</sup>, supported by StarDrop's optional ADME QSAR module to predict key ADME properties.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact <u>stardrop-support@optibrium.com</u>.